

STATE OF OREGON DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGY AND MINERAL INDUSTRIES RUARRI J. DAY-STIRRAT, STATE GEOLOGIST www.oregongeology.org



substitute for site-specific investigations by qualified practitioners. Sitespecific data may give results that differ from the results shown in the

# Landslide Inventory Map of The Dalles, Oregon

2023

by William J. Burns<sup>1</sup>, Nancy Calhoun<sup>1</sup>, Jon Franczyk<sup>1</sup>, Jason D. McClaughry<sup>2</sup>, and Katherine Daniel<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, 800 NE Oregon Street, Suite 965, Portland, OR 97232 <sup>2</sup>Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Baker County Courthouse, 1995 3rd Street, Suite 130, Baker City, OR 97814 <sup>3</sup>Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development, 635 Capitol Street NE Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301

The Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) partnered with Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to better understand the landslide hazards in the Wasco County, Oregon study area. The goal of the partnership was to create detailed landslide inventories. The text below explains how this was done.

This map is an inventory of existing landslides in the study area. The landslide inventory is one of the essential data layers used to delineate regional landslide susceptibility. This landslide inventory is not regulatory, and revisions can happen when new information regarding landslides is found or when new landslides occur. Therefore, it is possible that landslides within the mapped area were not identified or occurred after the map was prepared.

This inventory map was prepared by following the Protocol for Inventory Mapping of Landslide Deposits from Light Detection and Ranging (Lidar) Imagery developed by Burns and Madin (2009). The three primary tasks included compilation of previously mapped landslides (including review of the Statewide Landslide Information Layer for Oregon Release 4 [Franczyk and others, 2019]), lidar-based morphologic mapping of landslide features, and review of aerial photographs. Landslides identified by these methods were digitally compiled into a GIS database at varying scales. While the protocol recommends data use at a map scale of 1:8,000, and the geodatabase contains data at 1:8,000 or better, for representation the data have been visualized on the map plate at 1:32,000. Each landslide was also attributed with classifications for activity, depth of failure, movement type, and confidence of interpretation. The landslide data are displayed on top of a base map that consists of an aerial photograph (orthorectified) overlaid on the lidar-derived hillshade image.

This landslide inventory map is intended to provide users with basic information regarding landslides within the study area. The geologic, terrain, and climatic conditions that led to landslides in the past may provide clues to the locations and conditions of future landslides. It is intended that this map will provide useful information to develop regional landslide susceptibility maps, to guide site-specific investigations for future developments, and to assist in regional planning and mitigation of existing landslides.

We have classified each landslide shown on this map according to a number of specific characteristics identified at the time the data were recorded in the GIS database. The classification scheme was developed by the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (Burns and Madin, 2009). Several significant landslide characteristics recorded in the database are portrayed with symbology on this map. The specific characteristics shown for each landslide are the activity of landsliding, landslide features, deep or shallow failure, confidence of landslide interpretation, and type of landslide movement. These landslide characteristics are determined primarily on the basis of geomorphic features, or landforms, observed for each landslide. The symbology we use to display these characteristics on the map is explained below.

morphology.

**HIGH CONFIDENCE** (≥ 30 MODER **LOW CONFIDENCE** (≤ 20 points) ( \_ )

EFL

Type of

Movement Topple Slide-rotational Slide-translational Lateral spread Flow Complex

template.







## **Open File Report 0-23-02** Landslide Inventory and Risk Reduction of the North and Central Portions of Wasco County, Oregon

Funding for this project was provided in part by FEMA grant number EMS-2020-CA-00010.

## PLATE 1

## **INTRODUCTION**

#### EXPLANATION

#### LANDSLIDE CLASSIFICATION

**LANDSLIDE ACTIVITY:** Each landslide has been classified according to the relative age of most recent movement. This map display uses color to show the relative age of activity.

HISTORIC and/or ACTIVE (movement less than 150 years ago): The landslide appears to have moved within historic time or is currently moving (active).

**PRE-HISTORIC or ANCIENT (movement greater than 150 years ago):** Landslide features are slightly eroded and there is no evidence of historic movement. In some cases, the observed landslide features have been greatly eroded and/or covered with deposits that result in smoothed and subdued

LANDSLIDE FEATURES: Because of the high resolution of the lidar-derived topographic data, some additional landslide features were identified. These include:

HEAD SCARP ZONE and FLANK ZONE: The head scarp or upper most scarp, which in many cases exposes the primary failure plane (surface of rupture), and flanks or shear zones. **HEAD SCARP LINE and INTERNAL SCARP LINES:** Upper most extent of the head scarp and internal scarps within the body of the landslide. Hatching is in the down-dropped direction.

**DEPTH OF FAILURE:** The depth of landslide failure was estimated from scarp height. Failures less than 4.5 m (15 ft)

deep are classified as shallow, and failures greater than 4.5 m (15 ft) deep are classified as deep.

SHALLOW LANDSLIDE: Estimated failure plane depth is less than 4.5 m (15 ft).

 $\begin{bmatrix} a & b & b \\ b & a & a & b \\ \hline b & a & a & a & b \end{bmatrix}$  **DEEP LANDSLIDE:** Estimated failure plane depth is greater than 4.5 m (15 ft).

## CONFIDENCE OF INTERPRETATION

<b>ONFIDENCE</b> (2.30 points)	
<b>DATE CONFIDENCE</b> (20 – 20 pointe)	

Landslide Feature	Points
Head scarp	0-10
Flanks	0-10
Тое	0-10
Internal scarps, sag ponds, compression ridges, etc.	0-10*
* Applied only once so that total do not exceed 40.	points

EFL - Earth Flow - Abbreviation for type of slope movement. The table below displays movement types (Varnes, 1978). Generalized diagrams (some modeled from Highland, 2004) showing types of movement are shown in the next column.

#### **CLASSIFICATION OF MOVEMENT**

We classified each landslide with the type of landslide movement. There are five types of landslide movement: slide, flow, fall, topple, and spread (Varnes, 1978). These movement types are combined with material type to form the landslide classification. Not all combinations are common in nature, and not all are present in this study area.

Type of Material			
Rock	Debris	Soil	
RF rock fall	DF debris fall	EF earth fall	
RT rock topple	DT debris topple	ET earth topple	
RS-R rock slide-rotational	DS-R debris slide-rotational	ES-R earth slide-rotational	
RS-T rock slide-translational	DS-T debris slide-translational	ES-T earth slide-translational	
RSP rock spread	DSP debris spread	ESP earth spread	
RFL rock flow	DFL debris flow	EFL earth flow	
C complex or combinations of two or more types (for example, ES-R + EFL)			

#### REFERENCES

Franczyk, J.J., Burns, W.J., Calhoun, N.C., 2019. Statewide Landslide Information Database for Oregon, release 4 (SLIDO-4.0), Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Digital Data Series, https://www.oregongeology.org/slido/index.htm

Burns, W. J., and Madin, I. P., 2009, Protocol for inventory mapping of landslide deposits from light detection and ranging (lidar) imagery: Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Special Paper 42, 30 p., geodatabase

Highland, L., compiler, 2004, Landslide types and processes: U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2004-3072 (ver. 1.1),

Varnes, D.J., 1978, Slope movement types and processes, in Schuster, R. L., and Krizek, R. J., eds., Landslides—analysis and control: Washington, D.C., Transportation Research Board Special Report 176, p. 11–33.